

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 N. 5^{th} ST., KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

03 NOV 21 AH 8: 40

Facility Name: William H. Harvey Company

Location: 4334 South 67th Street, Omaha, NE 68117

Owner/Operator: William H. Harvey Company

(Respondent)

On June 26, 2002, an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings. Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the APPROVED BY EPA: authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i) as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and 40 CFR § 22.13(b) and 22.18(b), published at 64 Fed. Reg. 40137 on July 23, 1999. The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of § 1,200.00. This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified above.

corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$ 1.200.00. payable to the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund," to:

"Regional Hearing Clerk, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101".

Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check the docket number CWA-7-2003-0264 of this case.

(Do Not Make Check Out to Regional Hearing Clerk)

DOCKET NO: CWA-07-200340264

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent a liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA. Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

Chief, Ernergency Planning & Response Branch Superfund, Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print):_

E a propersion Title (print):_

Signature:

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Robert L. Patrick

Regional Judicial Officer

INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE

INSTRUCTIONS

The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has authority under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act to pursue civil penalties for violations of the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures ("SPCC") regulations. However, EPA encourages the expedited settlement of easily verifiable violations of SPCC requirements, such as the violations cited in this Expedited Settlement Agreement.

You may resolve the cited violations quickly by signing and returning the Expedited Settlement Agreement (Agreement) and paying the penalty amount within 30 days of your receipt of the Agreement. Failure to return the Agreement within the approved time does not relieve you of the responsibility to comply fully with the regulations, including correcting the violations that have been specifically identified by the inspector. If you decide not to sign and return the Agreement and not pay the penalty, EPA can pursue more formal enforcement measures to correct the violation(s) and seek penalties of up to \$11,000 per violation up to a maximum penalty of \$27,500.

You are required in the Agreement to certify that you have corrected the violations and paid the penalty amount. The payment for the penalty amount must be in the form of a certified check payable to the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund," with the Docket Number of the Expedited Settlement Agreement on the check. The Docket Number is located at the top of the right column of the Agreement.

The Agreement and Payment of the penalty amount shall be sent via <u>certified</u> <u>mail</u> to:

Regional Hearing Clerk Office of Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

By the terms of the Agreement, you waive your opportunity for a hearing pursuant to Section 311 of the Clean Water Act. EPA will treat any response to the proposed Agreement, other than acceptance of the settlement offer, as an indication that you are not interested in pursuing this expedited settlement procedure.

If you have any questions, you may contact the EPA Region 7 SPCC Compliance Coordinator at (913) 551-7251.

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment).

Docket Number: CWA

STATED STATES

These Findings. Alleged Violations and Penalues are issued by EPA Region Funder the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1996.

Company Name

William E. Harvey Company	7 - 2 0 0 3 - 0 2 0 4 5		
Facility Name	Date		
William H. Harvey Company	Date June 26, 2002 PROTEST PR		
Adares	Inspection Number		
4334 South 678 Sucer	F Y - I N S F - 0 2		
City:	Inspector's Name		
Omaina	Jeffrey Weatherford		
State Zup Coor	EPA Approving Official:		
NE - 68117	Robert V. Juckson		
Haziing Contact:	Emkercement Contacts:		
Mr. Gerald Brunner, Chief Engineer	Bob Webber Phone Number: (913):551-7251 Alan Hancock Phone Number: (913):551-7647		
	d). (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (b), (c), (d) \$1.000.00 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1.000.00.)		
No Spiil Prevention Control and Countennes	sure Plan		
	300,00		
Pian not available for review			
Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility is manned at least eight (8) hours per day) 100.00			
No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a change in: design, construction, operation, or maintenance which affects the facility's discharge potential			
	Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engineer		
	Sure which could result in discharges		
Plan does not discuss appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment			

Cla	Claiming installation of appropriate containment diversionary structures is impractical but:				
	. 19a contingency plan				
	Iso written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials				
_	Written Procedures and Inspection Records 112.7(c)(8)				
	imspections ruquired by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility				
	Wintien procedures and a record of inspections are not signed by facility supervisor				
	V-ritter, procedures and a record of inspections are not made part of the gian				
	Written procedures and a record of inspections are not maintained for three years				
	Personnel Training and Spill Prevention Procedures 112.7(e)(10)				
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges				
-	No paining on the applicable laws, rules, and regulations				
	No designated person responsible for spill prevention				
	Spill provention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically				
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel training and spill prevention procedures folds				
	FACILITY DRAINAGE, ONSHORE (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(1)				
	Valves used to drain diked areas are not of manual, open-and-closed design (note: flapper-type valves should not be used).				
	Pumps or ejectors not manually activated when diked storage areas drained				
	Drainage from undiked areas not into ponds, lagoons, or estehment basins, or no diversion systems to return spills to the facility.				
5	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage				
	BULK STORAGE TANKS (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(e)(2)				
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the material stored and the condition's of storage such as pressure and temperature				
	Secondary containment appears to be grossly inadequate				
	Materials of construction are not sufficiently impervious				
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity of the containment system				
	Walls of containment system are slightly eroded or have low areas				

- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Expass valve not normally sealed closed
 -! -:	
- -	
	Adequate records of drainage events are not maintained
	Underground units are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing. (166.0)
	Famually puried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion
	A hoveground tanks not subject to penodic integrity testing, such as visual, hydrostatic, and nondestructive methods, etc
	Crutaide of tank not frequently observed for signs of deterioration, leaks which might cause a spill, or accumulation of oil inside diked area
	Steam return: etihaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course not monitored, passed through a settling tank, shimmer, or other separation system
	Records of inspections of aboveground tanks are not maintained
	Tanks are not "fail-safe" engineered:
	No audible or visual high liquid level alarm, or
	No high-level pump cutoff devices set to stop flow at a predetermined tank content level, or 3(0,00
	No direct communications between tank gauger and pumping station, or
	No fast response system for determining liquid levels, such as computers, telepulse or direct vision gauges
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation
	Disposal facilities which discharge plant effluents directly to navigable waters are not monitored frequently to detect oil spills
	Visible oil leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected 300.0
	Mobile or portable storage tanks are not positioned to prevent spilled oil from reaching navigable water, or are in area subject to flooding
	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks

	Fine supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion. and allow for expansion and contraction and contra
	Aboveground velves and pipelines are not inspected regularly
	Periodic pressure testing of the valves and pipelines is not conducted
	Websile traffic not warned verbally or by appropriate signs of aboveground piping
	Plan has madequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and inspirant processor of facility transfer operations, pumping, and inspirant processor of facility transfer operations.
FA.C	THITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK, ONSHORE, 182,74e)(4)
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rucit dramage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quielt dramage system.
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest striple compartment of any tank ear or tank truck
	There is no interlocked warning light, physical harrier system, or warning signs to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines.
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank can or tank truck.
Table 1	Phar has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading unloading rack
SEC	URITY (excluding fraduction Facilities) 112.7(e)(9)
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not looked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production.
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow of tank's contents to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status.
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" nosition or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when that in service. 50,55
	Facility lighting not commensurate with the type and location of facility to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism.
\sum	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security
	TOTAL \$ 1200.01
	ditional violation that must be corrected: 1. Plan needs description of facility that includes detailed maps and flow diagrams.
	2. The SPCC Plan shall be a carefully thought-out plan, prepared in accordance with good engineering practices, and which has the full approval of management at a level with authority to commit the necessary resources. The SPCC Plan must follow the sequence specified in the rule, and include a discussion of the facility's conformance with the requirements of the rule.

Pacility owner or operator must amend the SPCC Plan on or before August 17, 2004, to comply with new SPCC rule (refer to oil spill) Program website: www.epa.gov/oilspill.

IN THE MATTER OF William H. Harvey Company, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2003-0264

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Kristina Kemp Assistant Regional Counsel Region VII United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

William H. Harvey Company Attn: Jason Hertz, Safety Supervisor 4334 South 67th Street Omaha, Nebraska 68117

Copy by First Class Mail to:

US. Coast Guard Finance Center (OGR) 1430A Kristina Way Chesapeake, VA 23326

Dated: 1/21103

Kathy Robinson

Regional Hearing Clerk